## **EXECUTIVE BOARD**

At a meeting of the Executive Board on Thursday, 13 November 2008 in the Marketing Suite, Municipal Building

Present: Councillors Polhill (Vice-Chair, in the Chair), D. Cargill, Gerrard, Harris, McInerney, Nelson and Wharton

Apologies for Absence: None

Absence declared on Council business: Councillors McDermott, Swain and Wright

Officers present: L. Cairns, G. Cook, M. Reaney, B. Dodd, D. Johnson, I. Leivesley, A. McIntyre and D. Tregea

Also in attendance: Councillor Hodgkinson

# ITEMS DEALT WITH UNDER POWERS AND DUTIES EXERCISABLE BY THE BOARD

Action

#### CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE PORTFOLIO

(NB The following Councillors declared personal interests in the following item of business for the reasons shown:

Councillor Harris – Governor of The Bankfield School Councillor Nelson – Governor of The Grange Comprehensive School Councillor Wharton – son attends Fairfield High School)

EXB70 BUILDING SCHOOLS FOR THE FUTURE (BSF) STRATEGY FOR CHANGE (PART 2) AND BSF FUNDING -KEY DECISION

The Board considered a report of the Strategic Director – Children and Young People providing a summary of the Building Schools for the Future (BSF) Strategy for Change (Part 2) (SfC2), seeking approval for its submission to Partnership for Schools (PfS) by 19<sup>th</sup> November 2008. The report also outlined the role and benefits of a Local Education Partnership (LEP) and requested approval to utilise this procurement route. A summary of the potential sites for capital receipts was outlined and approval in principle was sought to maximise this income to deliver the

BSF programme.

A copy of the strategy had been attached to the report at Appendix A and a slightly revised version was tabled at the meeting for Members' information, together with a list of the changes that had been made. It was noted that the document described how the Authority intended to achieve its vision with its key stakeholders, and had been developed by working in partnership with Head Teachers of Secondary and Special Schools, external consultants and other key stakeholders, and through the BSF workstreams such as ICT and Technical. In addition, each Secondary and Secondary Special School within Halton had now developed its own School Strategy for Change for inclusion in the SfC2.

In respect of the Local Education Partnership (LEP), it was advised that this was a public private partnership between the Local Authority, BSF Investments LLP (BSFI) and a private sector partner selected in open competition under the European Procurement Rules. It was a joint venture company whose primary purpose was to ensure that the BSF investment was efficiently and effectively used to deliver a transformed secondary estate.

The benefits of this route for Halton were outlined for Members' information within the report. It was noted that the LEP enabled the Authority to deliver its programme through a mix of procurement routes: Private Finance Initiative (PFI) and conventionally funded projects. This was the BSF default procurement model and the SfC2 confirmed the Authority's intention to procure BSF in this way.

Further information was also provided in respect of capital receipts that could be generated from the four sites within the Borough, which would be partially or fully vacated once the programme was complete. These sites were Chesnut Lodge School, Ashley School, part of Fairfield High School and part of the sites housing the four Grange Schools. However, prior to release of any land for capital receipts, the Authority had to consider the impact on the Unitary Development Plan and the Sports England requirements, particularly where a Section 77 request would be needed to release school playing fields. These rules restricted the availability of land for redevelopment.

It was confirmed that other opportunities arising as a result of BSF were also being considered such as the intention to have a significant positive impact on children and young people's health, particularly levels of obesity in the Borough, through the facilities and services which would

be provided through secondary schools. Various issues in different localities were being examined in order that provision could be better targeted to meet local needs.

#### Reason for the Decision

A Strategy for Changes Part 2 must be completed by all authorities in the BSF programme.

### Alternative Options Considered and Rejected

Not applicable.

## **Implementation Date**

The Strategy for Change must be submitted to PfS by 19<sup>th</sup> November 2008.

**RESOLVED: That** 

the Strategy for Change Part 2, attached as Appendix A to the report and revised as outlined at the meeting, be approved and the Strategic Director for Children and Young People be authorised, in consultation with the Executive Board Member for Children and Young People, to make any necessary minor amendments following this meeting prior to its submission;

Strategic Director-Children & Young People

- 2) the LEP model be confirmed as the BSF procurement route and a further report be requested on its potential scope;
- 3) approval be confirmed to seek permission to utilise 100% of available capital receipts on vacant secondary premises to fund the BSF Capital Programme; and
- 4) the Cross Party BSF Working Group be reconvened to develop the Strategy for Change Part 2 to inform the Outline Business Case.

MINUTES ISSUED: 19th November 2008

CALL IN: 26<sup>th</sup> November 2008

Any matter decided by the Executive Board may be called in no

later than 26<sup>th</sup> November 2008